Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/995,554	MANZ ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	ALEX NOGUEROLA	1753	
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>non-final</u>		
(1) ALEX NOGUEROLA.	(3)	,	
(2) <u>Jacqueline Mahoney</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 8 February 2005	Time:		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:			
Claims discussed:			
Prior art documents discussed: none			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Applicants have not communicated to the examiner whether a formal Request for Interference Under 37 C.F.R. 1.607 has been filed. So he will assume that is has not been filed.			
Part III.			
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 			
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	/Applicant's Representative Sig	gnature – if appropriate)	



Application No. 09/995,554

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner indicated that claim 21 appears as if it should depend from claim 20 instead of claim 19 and requested support for a limitation in claim 19 so that an interference could be initiated as requested by Applicants. No Request for Interference Under 37 C.F.R. 1.607 has been filed yet, but one will be prepared..